the general science journal

A Five-Dimensional Spacetime-Energy Framework:

a Geometric Unification of Quantum Mechanics and Gravity

Aaron Peled
Photonics Laboratory, Electrical and Electronics Engineering Faculty
Holon Institute of Technology
52 Golomb Str. Holon 5867921, Israel
Email: peledd@gmail.com, peled@hitacil.onmicrosoft.com

ABSTRACT

We propose a five-dimensional geometric framework in which energy is elevated to the status of a geometric coordinate, analogous to the role time plays in special relativity. By defining a new 5th coordinate $x_5 = \hbar c/E$, as the reduced Compton wavelength associated with energy E, we construct a 5D spacetime-energy interval and impose a null geodesic condition. Without any quantization postulates, field equations, or tensorial machinery, this condition yields: the energy-time uncertainty principle, the Compton wavelength as a natural length scale, the Zitterbewegung frequency of massive particles, and the quantum phase evolution of wavefunctions. Furthermore, the Planck length emerges as the natural minimum of x_5, and Newton's gravitational constant G is shown to be derivable from the geometry of the fifth dimension rather than being a fundamental input. We propose that quantum mechanics and gravity are therefore projections of a single 5D geometric structure governed entirely by the two fundamental constants c and ħ.

Keywords: General Relativity, Quantum Mechanics, 5D Spacetime-Energy, null geodesics, Compton wavelength, Zitterbewegung, Planck Scale, Gravitational Constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

The two foundational theories of modern physics, General Relativity (GR) and Quantum Mechanics (QM) have resisted unification for nearly a century. GR describes gravity as the curvature of a four-dimensional spacetime manifold, governed by Einstein's field equations (Einstein, 1915). On the other hand, QM describes the behavior of matter and energy through operators, wavefunctions, and the uncertainty principle (Heisenberg, 1927). Despite their individual successes, they rest on incompatible mathematical foundations, a conflict first formalized by Bronstein (1936), who demonstrated that the uncertainty principle imposes a physical limit on the smooth geometry of General Relativity as detailed later by Gorelik (1992). The central insight of the present work is that this incompatibility may be a consequence of working in four dimensions. In 1908, Minkowski geometrized time by introducing the imaginary coordinate $x_4 = ict$, unifying space and time into a single four-dimensional manifold and giving birth to special relativity as pure geometry (Minkowski, 1908). We propose an analogous step: geometrizing energy by introducing a fifth coordinate:

$$x_5 = \hbar c/E \quad (1)$$

where ħ is the reduced Planck constant, c is the speed of light, and E is the energy of the system. This coordinate has a dimension of length, specifically the reduced Compton wavelength associated with energy E. The resulting 5D spacetime-energy interval, when subjected to a null geodesic condition, reproduces the core results of quantum mechanics and naturally incorporates the Planck scale, all without tensors, quantization rules, or gravitational field equations.

This approach resonates with Wheeler's (1962) program of geometrodynamics, which sought to express all of physics as manifestations of the geometry of spacetime. Whereas Wheeler pursued this vision within four dimensions, the present framework suggests that the quantum domain requires a fifth geometric dimension. Our framework rests on only two fundamental constants: c (governing the 4D causal structure) and ħ (governing the 5th dimension). We argue that Newton's constant G is also not fundamental but emerges as a geometric property of the minimum of x_5.

2. THE 5D SPACETIME-ENERGY FRAMEWORK

2.1 The Five Coordinates

Following Minkowski (1908), we define five coordinates for a physical event:

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_2 = y, \quad x_3 = z, \quad x_4 = ict, \quad x_5 = \hbar c/E \qquad (2)$$

The first four coordinates form the standard Minkowski spacetime. The fifth coordinate x_5 is the reduced Compton wavelength dual to the energy E. All five coordinates carry the dimension of length, ensuring geometric consistency. The two non-Euclidean coordinates are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Non-Euclidean coordinates of the 5D framework

Coordinate	Expression	Constant Used	Theory Encoded
x_4	ict	c	Special Relativity
x_5	ħc/E	ħ, c	Quantum Mechanics

2.2 The 5D Interval

The extension of the Minkowski (1908) 4D interval $dx_4^2 = -c^2dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ to five dimensions is obtained as follows. Taking the differential of x_5:

$$dx_5 = d(\hbar c/E) = -(\hbar c/E^2)\, dE$$

The square of this differential is:

$$dx_5^2 = (\hbar c/E^2)^2\, (dE)^2$$

Giving the full 5D interval:

$$ds_5^2 = -c^2dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 + (\hbar c/E^2)^2(dE)^2 \qquad (3)$$

The metric signature is (−,+,+,+,+), with x_5 entering as a spacelike coordinate. For simplification and clarity, we work in 1+1+1 dimensions (one spatial, one temporal and one energy) throughout the derivations below.

2.3 The Role of x_5

The fifth coordinate x_5 is treated as a genuine dynamical dimension, with conjugate wave number k_5 related to energy via a lightlike dispersion relation:

$$E = \hbar\omega = \hbar c k_5$$

Applying the Fourier conjugacy $dx_5 \cdot dk_5 \sim 1$, and substituting $dk_5 = dE/\hbar c$, yields:

$$dx_5 \cdot dE = \hbar c = \text{constant} \qquad (4)$$

This result holds for minimum-uncertainty states and assumes massless dispersion along x_5. It establishes a Heisenberg-type conjugacy between x_5 and E, directly analogous to the position-momentum uncertainty relation, with ħc playing the role of the invariant quantum of action in the extended space.

Moving along x_5 corresponds to changing the energy scale, identical in spirit to radial motion in the AdS/CFT correspondence (Maldacena, 1997), where the fifth dimension encodes the renormalization group energy scale.

3. THE NULL GEODESIC CONDITION AND EMERGENCE OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

In 4D Minkowski space, the null condition $ds^2 = 0$ defines the light cone and causal structure. Photons travel on null geodesics. We impose the same condition on the 5D interval and examine its physical content.

3.1 Particle at Rest: The Uncertainty Principle

For a particle at rest in space ($dx = dy = dz = 0$), equation (3) with $ds_5^2 = 0$ gives:

$$-c^2dt^2 + (\hbar c/E^2)^2(dE)^2 = 0 \quad (5)$$

Leading to:

$$\hbar\, dE = E^2\, dt$$

We identify here the characteristic energy E of the particle with the energy differential dE associated with its geometric displacement, reflecting the quantum nature of the 5D system, wherein the state itself constitutes the fundamental unit of change, a topological requirement of unitary action (Witten, 1988). Within the 5D phase interval, we postulate that a fundamental particle represents a singular, indivisible excitation of the manifold. In this discrete limit, the energy differential dE is identically equal to the characteristic energy E, as any smaller change would fail to satisfy the minimum action threshold $\oint p_i\, dq^i = h$ (Goldstein et al., 2001).

Consequently, for a massive particle at rest, the 5D null condition $ds_5^2 = 0$ is reinterpreted as a statement of **phase-space saturation**. This represents a physical state where the 5D geometry has reached the maximum density of states allowed by the fundamental quantum of action. At this threshold, the phase-space volume is entirely occupied by Planck-sized cells, precluding further displacement within the 5th dimension (Pathria & Beale, 2011). Under this identification, the 5D null condition gives directly the Heisenberg uncertainty relation:

$$dE \cdot dt = \hbar \quad (6)$$

Mapping these differentials to macroscopic observable spreads, the energy-time uncertainty relation $\Delta E \cdot \Delta t \sim \hbar$ emerges as a purely geometric statement: it is the condition for a massive particle at rest to lie on a null geodesic in 5D.

In this way, the axiomatic mystery of Heisenberg's indeterminacy is replaced by a dual-layered geometric necessity:

1. **The Geometrical Constraint ($ds_5^2 = 0$):** Massive particles are light-like in five dimensions whose existence implies motion along a 5D null geodesic.
2. **The Topological Step ($dE \equiv E$):** The 5th dimension is not a continuum but possesses a minimum pixel size governed by the action h, identifying the system state as its own fundamental unit of change.

The energy-time constraint is thus the fixed geometric result of traversing a pixelated 5D manifold at the universal speed limit c. Uncertainty is then the 4D projection of this 5D geometric resolution.

3.2 Moving Massive Particle: Zitterbewegung

For a particle with energy E(t) varying in time, $x_5 = \hbar c/E$ is a genuine dynamical coordinate. Then:

$$dx_5 = -(\hbar c/E^2)\, dE \quad (7)$$

Substituting into the null condition $ds_5^2 = 0$ and dividing by dt^2:

$$-c^2 + v^2 + (\hbar^2 c^2/E^4)\dot{e}^2 = 0 \quad (8)$$

where $v = dx/dt$ and $\dot{e} = dE/dt$.

Using the relativistic relations $c^2 - v^2 = c^2/\gamma^2$ and $E = \gamma mc^2$:

$$|\dot{e}| = mc^2 \cdot E/\hbar \quad (9)$$

This can be rewritten as:

$$\dot{e}/E = mc^2/\hbar = \omega_c \quad (10)$$

where $\omega_c = mc^2/\hbar$ is the Compton angular frequency. The solution is:

$$E(t) = E_0 \cdot \exp(i\, \omega_c\, t) \quad (11)$$

This is precisely the quantum mechanical phase evolution of a wavefunction $\psi \sim \exp(-iEt/\hbar)$. The Compton frequency ω_c is also the frequency of Zitterbewegung, the rapid oscillatory motion of a free Dirac (1928) particle, here emerging as a direct consequence of the 5D null condition.

3.3 The Photon: Wavelength as 5D Residue

For a photon, the standard 4D null condition gives $dx = cdt$, so the 4D sub-interval vanishes: $ds_4^2 = -c^2dt^2 + dx^2 = 0$. Unlike massive particles, a photon does not satisfy the 5D null condition $ds_5^2 = 0$; instead, since its energy is fixed, $dx_5 = 0$ and the full 5D interval is then non-zero. With $x_5 = \hbar c/E$ constant and equal to the reduced wavelength $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda/2\pi$, the 5D interval reduces to:

$$ds_5^2 = dx_5^2 = x_5^2 = \bar{\lambda}^2 \quad (12)$$

A photon is null in 4D ($ds_4^2 = 0$) but its full 5D interval is non-zero, equal to $dx_5^2 = x_5^2 = \bar{\lambda}^2$, its fifth-dimensional extent. The reduced wavelength of a photon is therefore its geometric size in the 5th dimension, not merely a periodic property of its wavefunction, but rather its intrinsic geometric displacement along the 5th-dimensional axis. This also recovers the de Broglie relation since for a photon with momentum $p = E/c$, one has $x_5 = \hbar c/E = \hbar/p = \bar{\lambda}$, consistent with $\lambda = h/p$.

4. SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM THE NULL CONDITION

Table 2. Physical results emerging from the 5D null geodesic condition

Physical Result	How It Emerges	Standard Origin
Uncertainty principle $\Delta E \cdot \Delta t = \hbar$	Null condition, particle at rest	Quantum postulate
Compton wavelength $\lambda c = \hbar/mc$	x_5 evaluated at $E = mc^2$	Quantum + SR
Zitterbewegung frequency $\omega_c = mc^2/\hbar$	Null condition, massive particle	Dirac equation
Quantum phase $\exp(i\, \omega_c\, t)$	Solution to null geodesic equation	QM axiom
Photon wavelength as 5D size; de Broglie relation $\lambda = h/p$	5D interval reduces to $dx_5^2 = x_5^2 = \bar{\lambda}^2$ ($ds_4^2 = 0$); $x_5 = \hbar/p$	de Broglie relation
Planck length as minimum of x_5	$x_5 \geq \ell_{Planck}$ naturally	Quantum gravity assumption

5. THE PLANCK SCALE AS NATURAL MINIMUM OF X_5

The fifth coordinate $x_5 = \hbar c/E$ decreases monotonically with increasing energy.

Now as $E \to E_{Planck}$ we have:

$$x_5 \text{ (at } E=E_{Planck}) = \hbar c/E_{Planck} = \hbar c/\sqrt{(\hbar c^5/G)} = \sqrt{(\hbar G/c^3)} = \ell_{Planck} \approx 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m} \quad (13)$$

The Planck length is here the minimum value of x_5. This is not imposed by hand; it follows from the definition of x_5 and the existence of the Planck energy as the scale at which all known physics breaks down. The 5th dimension thus has a natural infrared-to-ultraviolet flow:

$$E \to 0 \implies x_5 \to \infty \quad \text{(infrared, classical limit)}$$

$$E = E_{Planck} \implies x_5 = \ell_{Planck} \quad \text{(ultraviolet, quantum gravity)}$$

This behavior is structurally identical to the radial coordinate in Anti-de Sitter space for the AdS/CFT correspondence (Maldacena, 1997), where radial position encodes the renormalization group energy scale of the dual quantum field theory.

6. NEWTON'S CONSTANT AS A DERIVED GEOMETRIC QUANTITY

The Planck length is conventionally defined as:

$$\ell_{Planck} = \sqrt{(\hbar G/c^3)} \quad (14)$$

Since x_5 reaches ℓ_{Planck} at the Planck energy, and ℓ_{Planck} is the minimum of x_5 (i.e., $x_{5,min}$), we can invert this relation to express G in terms of the geometric minimum of the 5th dimension:

$$G = (x_{5,min})^2 \cdot c^3 / \hbar \quad (15)$$

Newton's gravitational constant G is therefore not a fundamental input but a derived quantity, the geometric property of the minimum length of the fifth dimension. This has a profound implication:

Gravity is the geometric consequence of x_5 possessing a minimum length.

This framework thus requires only two fundamental constants, c and ħ, to generate the full structure of quantum mechanics and to incorporate gravity. G emerges here from the geometry rather than being an independent parameter of nature.

7. DISCUSSION AND RELATION TO EXISTING FRAMEWORKS

7.1 Relation to Kaluza-Klein Theory

Kaluza (1921) and Klein (1926) introduced a compactified fifth spatial dimension and showed that it generates electromagnetism alongside gravity. In their framework, the size of the extra dimension was a free parameter with no fundamental derivation. In the present framework, x_5 is not a compactified spatial dimension but an energy-dual coordinate with a physically derived minimum length.

7.2 Relation to AdS/CFT

The AdS/CFT correspondence (Maldacena, 1997) establishes that the radial coordinate of a five-dimensional Anti-de Sitter bulk encodes the energy scale of a four-dimensional quantum field theory on the boundary. Our x_5 plays precisely this role: a length coordinate inversely proportional to energy, connecting UV physics (small x_5, high E) with IR physics (large x_5, low E). The present framework may be understood thus as providing a kinematic foundation for this correspondence.

7.3 Two Fundamental Constants

A striking feature of this framework is its economy. All results follow from two constants, as summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. The two fundamental constants and their geometric roles

Constant	Geometric Role	Theory Generated
c	Defines x_4 = ict; sets causal structure	Special Relativity, causality
ħ	Defines $x_5 = \hbar c/E$; sets quantum structure	Quantum Mechanics, gravity
G	Derived: $G = x_{5,min}^2 c^3/\hbar$	Not fundamental, emergent from geometry

This suggests that c and ħ are the two truly fundamental constants of nature, corresponding to the two non-Euclidean geometric dimensions beyond ordinary 3D space.

7.4 Quantum Mechanics as 5D Geometry

The results of Section 3 support the following precise statement:

Quantum mechanics is the geometry of the fifth dimension projected onto 4D spacetime, in the same sense that special relativity is the geometry of the fourth dimension (ict) projected onto 3D space. The wavefunction phase, the uncertainty principle, and the Compton scale are all encoded in the single coordinate $x_5 = \hbar c/E$ and its null geodesic condition.

8. CONCLUSION

We have constructed a five-dimensional spacetime-energy framework by introducing the coordinate $x_5 = \hbar c/E$ as the geometric analogue of Minkowski's x_4 = ict**.** Imposing the 5D null geodesic condition *$ds_5^2 = 0$* ***on massive particles*** *yields*, without special quantum postulates:

1. The energy-time Heisenberg uncertainty principle as a geometric identity.
2. The Compton wavelength as the natural length scale of a massive particle.
3. A Zitterbewegung frequency and quantum phase evolution.
4. The Planck length as the minimum of x_5.
5. Newton's constant G as a derived geometric quantity.

In the complementary case of a **photon**, which satisfies the 4D null condition $ds_4^2 = 0$ but not the 5D null condition, the framework yields a non-zero 5D interval $ds_5^2 = dx_5^2 = x_5^2 = \bar{\lambda}^2$, identifying the photon's reduced wavelength as its intrinsic geometric extent in the fifth dimension. Thus, the framework distinguishes two geometric regimes: for massive particles $ds_5^2 = 0$, while for photons $ds_5^2 \neq 0$.

The framework also requires only two fundamental constants, c and ħ. Gravity is not an independent interaction but the geometric consequence of the fifth dimension possessing a minimum length given by the Planck length. Quantum mechanics and gravity are thus unified as the 4D and 5D projections of a single geometric structure.

Future work should address: (i) the full 5D metric tensor and geodesic equations; (ii) the field equations governing the geometry of x_5; (iii) the physical interpretation and observational consequences of the framework in the strong-gravity regime; (iv) the physical consequences of the photon's non-null 5D status ($ds_5^2 = \bar{\lambda}^2 \neq 0$), including whether a photon redshifting toward zero energy ($x_5 \to \infty$) or blueshifting toward the Planck energy ($x_5 \to \ell_{Planck}$) encounters a geometric boundary in the fifth dimension that implies a finite photon lifetime absent in the standard 4D description.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This framework emerged from a collaborative intellectual dialogue. The core geometric insight, that energy should be treated as a fifth coordinate via $x_5 = \hbar c/E$, originated in that discussion, as did the recognition that G need not be a fundamental constant of the theory.

REFERENCES

Bronstein, M. P. (1936). Quantentheorie schwacher Gravitationsfelder. Physikalische Zeitschrift der Sowjetunion, 9, 140–157.

Dirac, P. A. M. (1928). The quantum theory of the electron. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, 117(778), 610–624. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1928.0023

Einstein, A. (1915). Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation [The field equations of gravitation]. Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 844–847.

Goldstein, H., Poole, C. P., & Safko, J. L. (2001). Classical mechanics (3rd ed.). Addison-Wesley. (pp. 452–454, 484–488).

Gorelik, G. (1992). First steps of quantum gravity and the Planck values. In J. Eisenstaedt & A. J. Kox (Eds.), Studies in the history of general relativity (pp. 367–382). Birkhäuser. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-2990-2_16

Heisenberg, W. (1927). Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik, Zeitschrift für Physik, 43(3–4), 172–198. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01397280

Kaluza, T. (1921). Zum Unitätsproblem der Physik [On the unity problem of physics]. Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 966–972.

Klein, O. (1926). Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie [Quantum theory and five-dimensional relativity]. Zeitschrift für Physik, 37(12), 895–906. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01397481

Maldacena, J. (1997/1999). The large-N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 38(4), 1113–1133. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961

Minkowski, H. (1908). Raum und Zeit [Space and time]. Address delivered at the 80th Assembly of German Natural Scientists and Physicians, Cologne, September 21. Physikalische Zeitschrift, 10, 104–111.

Pathria, R. K., & Beale, P. D. (2011). Statistical mechanics (3rd ed.). pp. 35–38). Elsevier/Academic Press.

Wheeler, J. A. (1962). Geometrodynamics. Academic Press.

Witten, E. (1988). Topological quantum field theory. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 117(3), 353–386. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01223305